



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/607,839	06/30/2000	Michael A. Cleron	14531.70	2344

22913 7590 10/19/2004

WORKMAN NYDEGGER (F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER &
SEELEY)

60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

EXAMINER

DENNISON, JERRY B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2143

14

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/607,839	6/30/2000	CLERON et al.	

EXAMINER	
DENNISON, J.	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2143	

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) JENS JENKINS (# 44,803) (3) JERRY DENNISON (USPTO)
(2) MARC THOMPSON (USPTO) (4)

Date of Interview 12 OCTOBER 2004

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: CLAIM 1, 9, 27 (INDEPENDENT CLAIMS)

Identification of prior art discussed: PRIOR ART OF RECORD, AS APPLIED.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

APPLICANT DISCUSSED POTENTIAL DISQUALIFICATION OF
COTTRILLE, AS APPLIED, UNDER 103(C). FURTHER
DISCUSSION OF PENDING, PREVIOUS I12, first paragraph
REJECTION WAS (POTENTIALLY) OBIATED BY CLARIFYING MODULAR
FUNCTIONALITY OF SERVER SYSTEMS. SEE SPEC, PAGE 15, LINES 8-10 + FIG. 3
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable
must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be
attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION
IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office
action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

MARC D. THOMPSON
MARC THOMPSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER